



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,865	06/27/2005	Makoto Akama	9010		
23373	7590 04/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	ВАНТА,	BAHTA, KIDEST		
SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE, 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2125		
		DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		1	Application No.	Applica	ant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/540,865	AKAMA	AKAMA, MAKOTO			
		E	Examiner	Art Uni	t			
			Kidest Bahta	2125				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appea	ers on the cover shee	t with the correspor	ndence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN ISSUED IN THE MAN ISSUED	ILING DAT 37 CFR 1.136(ication. tory period will a II, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU  a). In no event, however, ma  apply and will expire SIX (6) No in the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C	date of this communication. C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
· <u>—</u>								
· —	,							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	·				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· -	Claim(s) <u>1.5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4, 6 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	ee the attached detailed Office action t	ioi a list oi	the certified copies i	ot received.				
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT · No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/05</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	104102)				

Art Unit: 2125

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 3. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 5 is not been further treated on the merits.

### **Drawings**

2. Figure 6 and 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted Prior Art (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, and corresponding text pages 2-4) in view of Ikeguchi (US 6,781,339).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,865 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

Regarding claims 1 and 7, the admitted prior art shows all the elements claimed except for the improvement apparatus comprising inputting a set cutting length to a comparator for comparing a critical cutting length and if the set cutting length is greater than the critical cutting length, the reverse rotation of the cutter can be prevented. However, lkeguchi discloses inputting a set cutting length to a comparator for comparing a critical cutting length and if the set cutting length is greater than the critical cutting length, the reverse rotation of the cutter can be prevented (column 8, lines 48-column 10, Fig. 6, and Fig. 9).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the improvement discloses by Ikeguchi in the admitted prior art in order to enable a control due to the same algorithm that can automatically cope with both long and short cut lengths or bag lengths, remarkably improve the productivity in a short cutting operation, have an excellent traceability, and improve the control accuracy.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-4 and 6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Kidest Bahta whose telephone number is 571-272-3737. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAG system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

Kidest Bahta

Primary Examiner

Au 2125